United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED I		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,602	11/28/2003	Kouji Mitsuhashi	246070US2	8264
22850 OBLON, SPIV	7590 05/04/2007 AK, MCCLELLAND, MA	EXAMINER		
1940 DUKE S'	TREET '	DHINGRA, RAKESH KUMAR		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1763		
	•			
•	•		NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

patentdocket@oblon.com oblonpat@oblon.com jgardner@oblon.com

1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)☑ Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)☑ Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are rejected. 7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9)□ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10)□ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11)□ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12)□ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)□ All b)□ Some c)□ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.			Application	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Rakesh K. Dhingra - The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address - Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - A SHORTEN THE MEMBER of the state of the communication. - If NO period for righy is secolited above, no maintern statutory period will apply and will stop in SW (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for righy is secolited above, no maintern statutory period will apply and will stop in SW (6) MONTHS from he mailing date of this communication. - Failine to replay show the second variety state of the communication will be shown that the state of the communication will be shown to the state of the communication. - Failine to replay shown the state of the state of the communication will be shown to the state of the state of the communication. - Status - This action is FINAL. - 20) This action is final. - 20) This action is incondition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. - Disposition of Claims - 4) Claim(s)	Office Action Summary		10/722,60)2.	MITSUHASHI ET	AL.				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address → Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensive of the may be available under the provision of 30 °FR1-1361, in to revent, however, may arenly to limit by the mile of 1 ft NO pared for reply is specified above, the maximum assistory period will apply and a spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Failure for grey within ne set or extended period for reply is specified above, the maximum assistory period will apply and spire SIX (8) MONTHS from the malting date of this communication. Failure for grey within ne set or extended period for reply will, by status, carbon application, seen if timely filed, may reduce any simple protects and published. Set 7 GPR 1-7649. Status 1) □ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007 2a) □ This action is FINAL. 2b) □ This action is non-final. 3) □ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) □ Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) □ Claim(s) 19.11.11.15.31.32 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) □ The requiring(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.21(d). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 1) □ The cath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office of the priority documents have been receive			Examine	,	Art Unit	·				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 03 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Exclusions of times may be available under the providence of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be fitted from the communication of the many be available under the providence of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely liked. - If NO period for eaply is sportial or set of extended period for reply will, or status or pand will applie Sky (by MONTHS from the malling date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, or status, cause the application to secome ABANDONED (35 U S.C. § 133). Any tray provided by the Office size that the threating date of this communication, even if fitted filed, may reduce any extended period to the manufaction of the communication. - Failure to reply within the set of extended period for reply will, by status, cause the application to secome ABANDONED (35 U S.C. § 133). Any tray provided by the difference of the communication, even if fitted filed, may reduce any extended period to the manufaction of the communication of t						·				
WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Edationison of time map be available under the provided under 18X (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is a straight of the communication of the commu										
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 February 2007. 2a)□ This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)⊠ Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	 WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any 									
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	Status	·								
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on 20 February 20	07.						
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4)										
A) Claim(s) 9,10,12,14,15,31,32 and 38 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s)	3)	Since this application is in condition fo	r allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	e merits is				
4)		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosures Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Disposition of Claims									
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) is/are objected to pertinction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1.	4)🖂	Claim(s) 9,10,12,14,15,31,32 and 38 is	s/are pending in the	application.						
6 Claim(s) 9.10.12.14.15.31.32 and 38 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2.□ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3.□ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) □ Notice of Traftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) □ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	6)⊠	Claim(s) 9,10,12,14,15,31,32 and 38	s/are rejected.							
Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-992) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Notice of Informati Patent Application (PTO-152)	8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election r	equirement.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicati	on Papers								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule:17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	9)	The specification is objected to by the I	Examiner.							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a	a) accepted or b)	objected to by the I	Examiner.					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/5B/08) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119								
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) ☑ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☑ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Notice of Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. * Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)									
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)										
· apar ma(a)/main bata 10/00/1/00.	O-152)									

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 2/20/07 has been entered.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 9, 12-15, 31-32 and 38 have been considered and response is given hereunder.

Applicant has amended claims 9, 31, 32 by adding new limitations, for example, in claim 9 – "wherein the barrier coat layer is an intermediate layer formed between the main layer and the base material".

Claims 9, 10, 12, 14, 15, 31, 32 and 38 are now pending and active.

Applicant's argument regarding Fakuda teaching sealing treatment on the top coat layer rather than on an intermediate layer is rendered moot in view of new reference (US Patent No. 5,494,713 – Ootuki et al), which addresses this newly added claim limitation as explained below.

Further, applicant's argument regarding Diugosch not teach sealing of an intermediate layer by a resin is also rendered moot in view of new reference (US Patent No. 6,771,483 – Harada et al), which teaches this claim limitation as explained below.

Accordingly claim 9 has been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as explained below. Further, remaining dependent claims 10, 12, 14, 15, 31, 32 and 38 have also been rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as explained below.

Art Unit: 1763

Further, in view of applicant's amendment to claims 9, 31, 32 and new grounds of rejection as detailed below, double patenting rejection has been amended as explained below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary.

Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 9, 10, 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/015,0866) in view of Ootuki (US Patent No. 5,494,713) and Harada et al (US patent No. 6,771,483).

Regarding Claims 9, 10: O'Donnell et al teach an apparatus (Figures 4-6) that includes a focus ring 14 (an internal member of a plasma processing vessel), comprising:

Art Unit: 1763

aluminum (base material); and

a film formed on a surface of the base material, wherein the film has a main layer 100 formed by thermal spraying of yttria-containing coating (ceramic) and an intermediate coating (barrier coat layer) 80 formed of Al2O3 (ceramic) that can also be formed by thermal spraying. O'Donnell et al also teach that for aluminum components, an anodic coating is given as a barrier coating before the main coating, and which can be sealed [Paragraphs 0041, 0054, 0057, 0059, 0062-0066].

O'Donnell et al teach sealing of anodized surfaces but do not teach at least parts of pores inside the thermally sprayed film are sealed by a resin.

Ootuki et al et al teach a plasma treatment apparatus (Figures 1, 2) wherein the pores in an intermediate layer of alumite formed on an aluminum substrate are sealed, followed by a top coating of silicon oxide (column 3, line 40 to column 5, line 40).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to seal the intermediate layer of alumite as taught by Ootuki et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell to prevent the particles from the aluminum substrate and the alumite coating from dispersing into the chamber.

O'Donnell in view of Ootuki et al teach sealing of intermediate barrier coat layer, but do not teach use of resin for sealing of barrier coat layer.

Harada et al teach an apparatus (Figures 10) comprising a substrate 1 that is coated with upper and lower insulating layers 5, 3 (ceramic – Al2O3) by spraying process. Harada et al further teach that sealing treatment using a resin may be applied to upper and lower insulating layers 5, 3 (column 4, line 45 to column 6, line 10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to seal the intermediate layer of ceramic with a resin as taught by Harada et al in the apparatus

Art Unit: 1763

of O'Donnell in view of Ootuki et al to fill the fine pores in the sprayed layer and also ingress of corrosive gas from the plasma can be prevented (column 5, lines 50-65).

Regarding Claim 15: O'Donnell et al teach that main layer is formed of Yttria (Y2O3) {Paragraph 0041}.

Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/015,0866) in view of Ootuki (US Patent No. 5,494,713) and Harada et al (US patent No. 6,771,483) as applied to Claim 9 and further in view of George et al (US patent No. 4,357,387).

Regarding Claim 12: O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al teach all limitations of the claim including sealing of pores in the barrier coat layer by a resin.

O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al do not teach sealing the barrier coat layer using a resin selected from the group consisting of SI (silicone), PTFE (polytekafluoroethylene), PI (polyimide), PM (polynmideimide), PEI (polyetherimide), PBI (polybenzimidazole) and PFA (perfluoroalkoxyalkane).

George et al teach sealing of thermally sprayed refractory (includes ceramic) coating using resins including polyimide resin (Column 2, lines 55-65 and Column 7, lines 10-50).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to seal the barrier coat layer using polyimide resin as taught by George et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al obtain proper adhesion of barrier coat layer with the base material.

Claim 31, 38 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/015,0866) in view of Ootuki (US Patent No. 5,494,713) and Harada et al (US

Art Unit: 1763

patent No. 6,771,483) as applied to Claim 9 and further in view of Bradley et al (US Patent No. 4,310,390) and Tokutake et al (US Patent No. 6,120,955).

Regarding Claims 31,38: O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al teach all limitations of the claim (as explained above under claim 9) including that main coating is formed of Y2O3 and an anodized film can be formed between base material and the coating (film) and that anodized layer can be sealed {O'Donnell - paragraph 0043}.

O'Donnell in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al do not teach parts of pores inside the anodic oxidized film are sealed by a second resin selected from the group consisting of SI (silicone), PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene), P1 (polyimide), PAI (polyamideimide), PEI (polyetherimide), PBI (polyberlzimidazole) and PFA (perfluoroalkoxyalkane).

Bradley et al teach an apparatus where anodized coatings are sealed using organic polymers solutions for sealing the pores in the anodic coating to improve resistance to corrosive environments (column 1, lines 15-45 and column 4, lines 25-60).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to seal anodized layer using organic polymers as taught by Bradley et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al to obtain improved corrosion resistance for aluminum substrates.

O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al, Harada et al and Bradley et al do not teach that for sealing of anodic oxidized film, the resin is selected from the group consisting of SI (silicone), PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene), P1 (polyimide), PAI (polyamideimide), PEI (polyetherimide), PBI (polyberlzimidazole) and PFA (perfluoroalkoxyalkane).

Tokutake et al teach an apparatus (Figure 1) that includes a substrate 1 that is anodized. Tokutake et al further teach that since the anodized layer has a porous portion, the same is sealed using polyimide resin (column 3, lines 55-60 and column 6, lines 59-65).

Art Unit: 1763

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use polyimide resin for sealing the pores in the anodized layer as taught by Tokutake et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al, Harada et al and Bradley et al to improve the durability of anodized layer.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/015,0866) in view of Ootuki (US Patent No. 5,494,713), Harada et al (US patent No. 6,771,483), Bradley et al (US Patent No. 4,310,390) and Tokutake et al (US Patent No. 6,120,955) as applied to Claim 31 and further in view of Mahulikar et al (US Patent No. 5,534,356).

Regarding Claim 14: O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al, Harada et al, Bradley et al and

Tokutake et al teach all limitations of the claim except that sealing treatment of anodic layer is executed using an element of Group 3a in the periodic table.

Mahulikar et al teach an apparatus (Figures 1, 2) where a substrate 12 is anodized (layer 14) and which is then sealed against pores using an aqueous solution comprising of boric acid (contains Boron – group 3a element) [column 3, line 20 to column 5, line 8].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use a group 3a element for sealing of anodic layer as taught by Mahulikar et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al, Harada et al, Bradley et al and Tokutake et al to prevent corrosion from chemicals used for subsequent processing like metallization (column 4, lines 58-68).

Art Unit: 1763

Claim 32 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/015,0866) in view of Ootuki (US Patent No. 5,494,713) and Harada et al (US patent No. 6,771,483) as applied to Claim 9 and further in view of Horita et al (US Patent No. 5,892,278).

Regarding Claim 32: O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al teach all limitations of the claim (as explained above under claim 9 above) and further including that main coating is formed of Y2O3 and an anodized film can be formed between base material and the coating (film) and that anodized layer can be sealed {O'Donnell - paragraph 0043}.

O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al do not teach that pores in the anodic oxidized film are sealed by an aqueous solution of metal salt.

Horita et al teach a method (Figures 1, 2) that includes formation of anodic oxidized film on semiconductor chip radiator 1 and where the anodic film is sealed in a nickel salt solution in water (Column 5, line 50 to Column 6, line 10).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to seal the pores in the anodic oxidized film by using an aqueous solution of metal salt as taught by Horita et al in the apparatus of O'Donnell et al in view of Ootuki et al and Harada et al enable clog micropores in the anodic oxidized film (Column 5, lines 60-65).

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct

Multiber: 10/122,00

Art Unit: 1763

from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer.

A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 9, 10, 12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 5, 17-20 of copending Application No. 10/773,245 (US PGPUB No. 2005/0103275) in view of O'Donnell et al (US PGPUB No. 2005/0150866).

Claims 5, 17-20 of co-pending application teach:

A ring member (an internal member) of a plasma processing vessel, comprising: a base material; and a film formed on a surface of the base material, wherein the film has a main layer formed by thermal spraying of ceramic and a barrier coat layer formed of ceramic including an element selected from the group consisting of B, Mg, A1, Si, Ca, Cr, Y, Zr, Ta, Ce and Nd; wherein the barrier coat layer is a thermally sprayed film and at least parts of pores inside the thermally sprayed film are sealed by a resin;

Application/Control Number: 10/722,602 Page 10

Art Unit: 1763

wherein the barrier coat layer is formed of at least one kind of ceramic selected from the group consisting ofBaC, MgO, A1203, SiC, Si3N4, SiO2, CaF2, Cr203, Y203, YF3, ZrO2, TaO2, CeO2, Ce203, CeF3 and Nd203; and

wherein the resin is selected from the group consisting of SI (silicone), PTFE (polytetrafluoroethylene), PI (polyimide), PAI (polyamideimide), PEI (polyetherimide), PBI (polybenzimidazole) and PFA (perfluoroalkoxyalkane).

Claims 5, 17-20 of co-pending application do not teach the barrier coat layer is an intermediate layer formed between the main layer and the base material.

O'Donnell et al teach an apparatus (Figures 4-6) that includes a focus ring 14 (an internal member of a plasma processing vessel), comprising:

aluminum (base material); and

a film formed on a surface of the base material, wherein the film has a main layer 100 formed by thermal spraying of yttria-containing coating (ceramic) and an optional intermediate coating (barrier coat layer) 80 formed of Al2O3 (ceramic) that can also be formed by thermal spraying. [Paragraphs 0041, 0054, 0057, 0059, 0062-0066].

Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form a barrier coat layer formed between the mail layer and the base member as taught by O'Donnell et al in the apparatus of claims 5, 17-20 of co-pending application to obtain an optional precoating before forming ceramic coating, as per process limitations (paragraph 0062).

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 1763

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rakesh K. Dhingra whose telephone number is (571)-272-5959. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 -6:00 (Monday - Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Parviz Hassanzadeh can be reached on (571)-272-1435. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rakesh Dhingra

Parviz Hassanzadeh Supervisory Patent Examiner Art Unit 1763